



Instructor with Comments Report

2014-11-26 - 2014-12-11 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Erbaugh, James Thomas

ENVIRON 207 002

	Responses from your Students**							Other Users of This Item*					
	5 SA	4 A	3 N	2 D	1 SD	NA	Your Median	University Wide			School/College		
								75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above
2 Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.	8	1	0	0	0	0	4.94	4.15	4.61	4.85	3.75	4.25	4.72
199 The instructor explained material clearly and understandably.	7	1	1	0	0	0	4.86	4.00	4.50	4.79			
204 The instructor was enthusiastic.	8	1	0	0	0	0	4.94	4.50	4.81	4.93			
207 The instructor appeared to have a thorough knowledge of the subject.	9	0	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.50	4.80	4.92			
211 The instructor was sensitive to student difficulty with course work.	5	4	0	0	0	0	4.60	4.14	4.50	4.79			
190 The instructor accommodated students with various learning needs.	5	2	0	0	0	2	4.80	4.30	4.63	4.83			
219 The instructor was willing to meet and help students outside class.	6	2	1	0	0	0	4.75	4.50	4.73	4.88			
224 The instructor suggested specific ways students could improve.	2	6	1	0	0	0	4.08	4.15	4.50	4.79			
226 The instructor kept students informed of their progress.	1	4	4	0	0	0	3.63	4.00	4.50	4.75			
230 The instructor seemed well prepared for each class.	7	2	0	0	0	0	4.86	4.33	4.69	4.86			
231 The objectives of the course were clearly explained.	7	2	0	0	0	0	4.86	4.05	4.38	4.67			
243 The instructor treated all students fairly.	7	2	0	0	0	0	4.86	4.44	4.73	4.88			
250 The instructor was open to contributions from all class members.	8	1	0	0	0	0	4.94	4.67	4.83	4.93			
324 Writing assignments were graded fairly.	6	2	1	0	0	0	4.75	4.17	4.50	4.72			

Written Comments

908 Which aspects of this course were most valuable?

Student 1

Understanding new perspectives and applying it to my major

Student 2

NA

Student 3

Going over difficult concepts and breaking into groups to delve into issues more. Always came up with interesting activities to think about issues.

Student 4

NA

Student 5

NA

Student 6

*-discussion was always interesting and even fun
-activities were useful
-use of different media in class (videos, games, presentations)*



Instructor with Comments Report

2014-11-26 - 2014-12-11 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Erbaugh, James Thomas

ENVIRON 207 002

Student 7

JT was a great instructor!

Student 8

NA

Student 9

the review sessions we had

Written Comments

907 How would you change this course?

Student 1

I thought I was great honestly

Student 2

NA

Student 3

Have more group work as to get to know class members more, and maybe start more informal conversations.

Student 4

NA

Student 5

NA

Student 6

NA

Student 7

NA

Student 8

NA

Student 9

it felt a little disjointed from lecture and readings. It would be helpful if they all meshed more

* The quartiles are calculated from Fall 2014 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on lower division classes with an enrollment of 16 to 74 students in Division of Natural Sciences in the College of LS&A.

** SA - Strongly Agree, A - Agree, N - Neutral, D - Disagree, SD - Strongly Disagree, NA - Not Applicable.



Instructor with Comments Report

2014-11-26 - 2014-12-11 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Erbaugh, James Thomas

ENVIRON 207 003

	Responses from your Students**						Other Users of This Item*						
							University Wide			School/College			
	5 SA	4 A	3 N	2 D	1 SD	NA	Your Median	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above
2 Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.	6	2	0	0	0	0	4.83	4.15	4.61	4.85	3.75	4.25	4.72
199 The instructor explained material clearly and understandably.	7	1	0	0	0	0	4.93	4.00	4.50	4.79			
204 The instructor was enthusiastic.	7	1	0	0	0	0	4.93	4.50	4.81	4.93			
207 The instructor appeared to have a thorough knowledge of the subject.	6	2	0	0	0	0	4.83	4.50	4.80	4.92			
211 The instructor was sensitive to student difficulty with course work.	5	1	1	0	0	1	4.80	4.14	4.50	4.79			
190 The instructor accommodated students with various learning needs.	3	4	0	0	0	1	4.38	4.30	4.63	4.83			
219 The instructor was willing to meet and help students outside class.	5	2	1	0	0	0	4.70	4.50	4.73	4.88			
224 The instructor suggested specific ways students could improve.	4	4	0	0	0	0	4.50	4.15	4.50	4.79			
226 The instructor kept students informed of their progress.	4	3	1	0	0	0	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.75			
230 The instructor seemed well prepared for each class.	5	2	0	0	0	0	4.80	4.33	4.69	4.86			
231 The objectives of the course were clearly explained.	5	3	0	0	0	0	4.70	4.05	4.38	4.67			
243 The instructor treated all students fairly.	6	2	0	0	0	0	4.83	4.44	4.73	4.88			
250 The instructor was open to contributions from all class members.	6	2	0	0	0	0	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.93			
324 Writing assignments were graded fairly.	7	1	0	0	0	0	4.93	4.17	4.50	4.72			

Written Comments

908 Which aspects of this course were most valuable?

Student 1
activities and review sessions were all helpful!

Student 2
NA

Student 3
NA

Student 4
small group, answer specific questions

Student 5
The different videos and activities, as well as discussing the readings.

Student 6
NA

Student 7
Review of key concepts from lectures and discussion regarding these concepts.



Instructor with Comments Report

2014-11-26 - 2014-12-11 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Erbaugh, James Thomas

ENVIRON 207 003

Student 8

NA

Written Comments

907 How would you change this course?

Student 1

*maybe putting slightly more stress on the readings in the discussion so we felt more accountable for reading everything? But not *too* much more stress, because the activities we did were really helpful most of the time.*

Student 2

NA

Student 3

NA

Student 4

less people

Student 5

Maybe have more specific and focused discussion.

Student 6

NA

Student 7

Less group activities

Student 8

NA

* The quartiles are calculated from Fall 2014 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on lower division classes with an enrollment of 16 to 74 students in Division of Natural Sciences in the College of LS&A.

** SA - Strongly Agree, A - Agree, N - Neutral, D - Disagree, SD - Strongly Disagree, NA - Not Applicable.



Instructor with Comments Report

2014-11-26 - 2014-12-11 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Erbaugh, James Thomas

ENVIRON 207 004

	Responses from your Students**							Other Users of This Item*					
	5 SA	4 A	3 N	2 D	1 SD	NA	Your Median	University Wide			School/College		
								75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above
2 Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.	9	0	2	0	0	0	4.89	4.15	4.61	4.85	3.75	4.25	4.72
199 The instructor explained material clearly and understandably.	6	5	0	0	0	0	4.58	4.00	4.50	4.79			
204 The instructor was enthusiastic.	9	1	0	0	0	0	4.94	4.50	4.81	4.93			
207 The instructor appeared to have a thorough knowledge of the subject.	7	3	1	0	0	0	4.71	4.50	4.80	4.92			
211 The instructor was sensitive to student difficulty with course work.	5	4	1	0	0	0	4.50	4.14	4.50	4.79			
190 The instructor accommodated students with various learning needs.	5	4	1	0	0	1	4.50	4.30	4.63	4.83			
219 The instructor was willing to meet and help students outside class.	6	4	0	1	0	0	4.58	4.50	4.73	4.88			
224 The instructor suggested specific ways students could improve.	3	4	3	1	0	0	3.88	4.15	4.50	4.79			
226 The instructor kept students informed of their progress.	6	4	1	0	0	0	4.58	4.00	4.50	4.75			
230 The instructor seemed well prepared for each class.	9	1	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.33	4.69	4.86			
231 The objectives of the course were clearly explained.	6	4	1	0	0	0	4.58	4.05	4.38	4.67			
243 The instructor treated all students fairly.	8	1	2	0	0	0	4.81	4.44	4.73	4.88			
250 The instructor was open to contributions from all class members.	8	1	2	0	0	0	4.81	4.67	4.83	4.93			
324 Writing assignments were graded fairly.	7	4	0	0	0	0	4.71	4.17	4.50	4.72			

Written Comments

908 Which aspects of this course were most valuable?

Student 1
I liked the interactive activities.

Student 2
NA

Student 3
Group and interactive activities

Student 4
I really enjoyed the in-class activities. I thought they were really fun and helped us learn the material well.

Student 5
NA

Student 6
Group work to make study guides/review material.

Student 7
I liked that we were able to reinforce concepts learned in lecture.



Instructor with Comments Report

2014-11-26 - 2014-12-11 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Erbaugh, James Thomas

ENVIRON 207 004

Student 8

J.T. was one of the best GSIs I've ever had! Engaging, fun, encouraging, and knowledgeable.

Student 9

NA

Student 10

Being able to interact and discuss course material with other students was very helpful.

Student 11

I liked all of the activities and games we played that applied what we had learned in class. Reviewing the readings and discussing overviews of class material was helpful.

Written Comments

907 How would you change this course?

Student 1

Make the essays shorter.

Student 2

NA

Student 3

Not have it on Friday morning

Student 4

NA

Student 5

NA

Student 6

NA

Student 7

have more discussions about the readings

Student 8

NA

Student 9

NA

Student 10

I would incorporate slightly more charts and visual aids into our discussion.

Student 11

Date Printed: 1/8/2016 16:52:07 PM



Instructor with Comments Report

2014-11-26 - 2014-12-11 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Erbaugh, James Thomas

ENVIRON 207 004

NA

* The quartiles are calculated from Fall 2014 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on lower division classes with an enrollment of 16 to 74 students in Division of Natural Sciences in the College of LS&A.

** SA - Strongly Agree, A - Agree, N - Neutral, D - Disagree, SD - Strongly Disagree, NA - Not Applicable.